

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NICHOLAS MURRAY, individually and on
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

TIME INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

Case No. CV12-0431 JSW

**[PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING STIPULATION
TO BRIEFLY EXTEND DEADLINE FOR
RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT AND TO SET
BRIEFING SCHEDULE PURSUANT TO CIVIL
L.R. 6-2**

**[PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING STIP
RE RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT
CASE NO. CV 12-0431 JSW**

Plaintiff Nicholas Murray (“Plaintiff”) and Defendant Time Inc. (“Time”) filed a stipulation seeking to set a briefing schedule for Time’s anticipated Motion to Dismiss. Having considered the stipulation and for good cause appearing,

4 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT THE PARTIES' AGREEMENT IS APPROVED:

1. Time shall have until March 12, 2012 to respond to the Complaint;
2. Plaintiff shall have until March 26, 2012 to oppose Time's response;
3. Time shall have until April 9, 2012 to file a reply to Plaintiff's opposition; and
4. The hearing on Time's anticipated Motion to Dismiss shall be set for April 27, 2012.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

23

20

21

20

Dated: March 5, 2012

By: Jeffrey S. White
HON. JEFFREY S. WHITE

HON. JEFFREY S. WHITE